

Now, I know that these may seem like odd questions to ask, especially when working in an ecology unit, but bear with me.

Here, in this word document free from the oppressive yoke of a three-hundred character word count, allow me to re-write my opening paragraph.

Hello, dear reader. This here presentation will provide an in-depth explanation, exploration, and debate centred around some relevant and often controversial questions, all centered around climate change, that many wouldn't care to ask. This only makes it more important that they be answered, lest the discussion become an echo-chamber.

These questions include topics such as "Why is biodiversity important?" and "Why favour 'native' plants and/or animals, and what differentiates the two?"

Unlike most other sites/shows/paragraphs, I the answers to these questions won't be definitive, and no idea, no matter how "morally horrid", will go undiscussed.

Some of these "controversial" questions will be covered:

- Why protect biodiversity?
 - Why do many consider it our duty to protect endangered species?
 - Does oceanic plastic aid climate change, and should we care about it?
 - Is genetic modification bad for the environment?
 - How do we get efficient green energy?
 - How can governments be motivated to change their current climate policies?
- And a few more.

Additionally, a few proposed solutions to climate change will be defined, explained, and discussed. Some of these include:

- Negative emissions technology
 - Solar power
 - Wind power
 - The banning of meat production
 - Destruction of the animal ecosystem to buy time
 - The Geno-sui-cide solution - should we all just kill ourselves for nature?
 - Genetic modification of algae to produce more oxygen
- And possibly more, if I think of it while making this.

First and foremost, if this is to be a proper comparison, then I must define the question and bring up the points of both sides and explore their intricacies.

While the side fighting against the status quo usually speaks first in a debate, this order assumes an informed audience, and, while I am sure you are knowledgeable, this presentation not only seeks to debate, but to inform.

Because I have plenty of good content here and don't want to use my most interesting questions immediately, the first question of the day will be one of plastic and protest: "Why do people care so much about plastic output when there are other, more pressing concerns out there?"

To answer this I will go over both sides of the argument, one arguing that plastic output is bad for all of nature and one arguing that plastic output is a relatively unimportant side effect as wildlife doesn't have a positive effect on the climate. I will do as much research as I can into both sides, state their points, force them against each other, and decide which one wins out. You can, of course, decide for yourself, but at the very least you'll be informed.

Go to the next "Slide" to see the debate and research.